1 2 3 4 5 6	MINUTES OF THE REGULAR PINOLE PLANNING COMMISSION October 23, 2017			
7 8	A.	CALL TO ORDER: 7:04	P.M.	
9 10	В.	PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE AND ROLL CALL:		
11 12 13		Commissioners Present:	Brooks, Hartley, Kurrent, Martinez-Rubin, Tave, W. Chair Thompson	ong.
14 15		Commissioners Absent:	None	
16 17 18		Staff Present:	Winston Rhodes, Planning Manager Eric Casher, City Attorney	
19 20	C.	CITIZENS TO BE HEARD:		
21 22		There were no citizens to be heard.		
23 24	D.	CONSENT CALENDAR:		
25 26		Planning Commission Meeting Minutes from September 25, 2017		
29 <b>25, 2017, as shown</b> .			Planning Commission Meeting Minutes from Septen	nber
30 31		MOTION: Hartley	SECONDED: Kurrent APPROVED:	7-0
32 33	E.	PUBLIC HEARINGS:		
34 35		1. Conditional Use Permit 17-03: East Bay Coffee Company Alcohol Sales		
36 37 38 39 40		Request:	Consideration of a use permit for on-site alcohol s in conjunction with an existing approximately 2 square foot café within an existing approximately 4 square foot mixed use building.	,500
41 42 43 44		Applicant:	William Ancira 2143 Whippoorwill Court Pinole, CA 94564	
45 46		Location:	2529 San Pablo Avenue, APN 401-184-015	

## **Project Staff:**

Winston Rhodes, Planning Manager

Planning Manager Winston Rhodes presented a PowerPoint presentation of the staff report dated October 23, 2017, and asked the Planning Commission to adopt Resolution 17-11, approving a use permit request for Conditional Use Permit (CUP 17-03) which permits beer and wine sales within the existing East Bay Coffee Company, subject to conditions.

Mr. Rhodes advised that correspondence from the applicant received this date had been provided to the Commission regarding Condition 12 and a applicant requested modification to add the lot across the street [Captain's Cottage] as one of the available lots where employees associated with the business would be allowed to park.

Responding to questions from the Commission, Mr. Rhodes clarified the City Engineer was confident in six to twelve months the City would be able to address the broader parking and pedestrian safety issues pursuant to the short-term measures identified in the staff report; the subject business would encourage customers to park in the remote parking lots; the City controlled the signal timing on San Pablo Avenue in cooperation with the City of Hercules in an effort to make it more convenient for pedestrians; the City Council had discussed the parking and pedestrian improvements and was comfortable the short-term measures would not affect long-term priorities, although there would be budgetary impacts; and it should not affect the delivery of other City Capital Improvement Projects (CIPs).

The information had been provided as background only for the benefit of neighbors who had concerns as a way to address pre-existing conditions and was not part of the use permit consideration; a petition had been included in the staff report signed by customers of the business who supported the sale of alcohol; and staff provided the background of how the parking and safety short-term measures had evolved at the City Council level. In addition, the short-term measures may not be accomplished within the noted timeframe; Condition 27 could be modified to add "water" shall be provided during all business operating hours; the applicant had applied for an Alcohol and Beverage Control (ABC) Type 41 License; the CUP ran with the property; and the ABC license may be transferable subject to criteria and fees.

The history of the vacant lot adjacent to East Bay Coffee Company café location was provided. This largely vacant lot is not available for parking or public use, a chain had been installed to prevent access, and Condition 13 addressed that issue. The possibility of a lighted crosswalk was mentioned during City Council discussion of circulation safety. The City Engineer considered this suggestion, and whether illuminated or not, it was staff's position that a mid-block crossing would not serve as a safety improvement and would be detrimental to circulation safety.

2.4

The Chair asked that staff look into line of sight issues from existing vegetation on John Street left onto San Pablo Avenue.

### PUBLIC HEARING OPENED

LISA ANCIRA, 2143 Whippoorwill Court, Pinole, East Bay Coffee Company, detailed the background of the business since the initial application in 2013; explained the business was in the process of introducing new items on its menu, with a focus on the sale of soups, salads, and sandwiches; and the sale of alcohol had been a part of the business plan. She acknowledged neighbors' concerns with parking, explained that signage had been placed at the rear of the business to highlight the existing well-illuminated parking lot across the street from the coffee house; and until such time as the City had the resources and manpower to place signage, the business could post sandwich signs along San Pablo Avenue to identify available parking in the area. While the owner of the café property was interested in turning the adjacent lot to parking to help lift the parking burden in the area, she understood the improvement costs had made that possibility infeasible without some reduction in fees to help defray the costs.

#### PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED

Mr. Rhodes clarified the time and effort involved by staff, the City Council, and the Planning Commission to address the issues; the City Council had already approved a public convenience or necessity (PCN) determination after three public hearings; and while physical improvements could be made and while there is a desire that those improvements take place within to six to twelve months; there was no nexus for one business to trigger those improvements. The applicant was permitted to sell the food items and the applicant desired to expand beverage choice with the sale of alcohol as other businesses in the area had been allowed to do.

Planning Commissioners acknowledged the concerns of the John Street neighborhood and the parking issues; recognized the Pinole Police Department had increased enforcement in the area; the applicant had placed signage in the cafe to identify available parking; suggested it was unfair to hold up the application for the City to do what it was supposed to do; supported the cessation of entertainment at 9:00 P.M. but recommended Condition 15 be modified to include the following statement at the end of the condition: Patrons must leave the premises 30 minutes after the end of operating hours; and urged the City to complete the needed public safety improvements as soon as possible. The Commission requested a progress report from staff in three to six months as to the status of the short-term public safety improvements; and by consensus decided not to add Lot 8 by the Captains Cottage to Condition 12.

Mr. Rhodes advised he could obtain a status report on the short-term measures in three to six months and provide a verbal report to the Planning Commission.

**MOTION** to adopt Planning Commission Resolution 17-11 with Exhibit A: Conditions of Approval and with Exhibit B: Location of Public Parking Lots, a Resolution of the Planning Commission of the City of Pinole, County of Contra Costa, State of California, Approving a Conditional Use Permit, (CUP 17-03) to Allow Beer and Wine Sales for On Site Consumption in Conjunction with an Existing East Bay Coffee Company Café Located at 2529 San Pablo Avenue, Pinole, CA 94564, APN 401-184-015; subject to modification of Condition 15 as follows:

• Add the following sentence to the end of Condition 15 to read: *Patrons must leave the premises 30 minutes after the end of operating hours.* 

MOTION: Kurrent SECONDED: Brooks APPROVED: 7-0

### 2. Conditional Use Permit 17-08 / DR 17-11: Planet Fitness Center

Request: Consideration of a use permit to operate an

approximately 18,870 square foot fitness center within a vacant portion of the existing Pinole Vista Shopping Center and exterior façade modifications to the in line commercial building space for new future on-building

signage.

**Applicant:** Andrew Davies

N Consulting Engineers 4 Hutton Centre, Suite 670 Santa Ana, CA 92707

**Location:** 1570 Fitzgerald Drive, APN 426-391-004

**Project Staff:** Winston Rhodes, Planning Manager

Planning Manager Winston Rhodes presented the staff report dated October 23, 2017, and recommended the Planning Commission adopt Resolution 17-12, conditionally approving the CUP request and design review to allow the operation of a fitness training facility at 1570 Fitzgerald Drive and an accompanying building façade renovation to expand the sign band for two of the shopping center's larger tenant spaces.

Mr. Rhodes explained the applicant had made clear that signage was not part of the subject request before the Commission; the signage could be handled administratively although the architectural façade change would allow for a wider sign band. The renderings provided were for illustrative purposes, and a condition of approval had been included for the applicant to consider adding more of the lotus style decorative metal grates to break up the façade visually and tie in with existing

façade improvements included previously within the shopping center and those approved for Lucky's grocery store. The additional decorative lotus-style metal grates could be located above the columns and in the upper corners under the new crown trim facing north, and the side views on the west and east elevations.

Responding to the Commission, Mr. Rhodes clarified Planet Fitness oftentimes had tanning facilities although that had not currently been envisioned for the subject location; a separate set of material samples had not been provided given the materials would match those existing; the applicant had paid for and should clarify the parking study methodology used for the application and peak parking needs for fitness studios; and acknowledged Conditions 4, 7, 14 and 25 as contained in Resolution 17-12, which had used the term "market operator" should be amended to read "fitness center operator."

#### PUBLIC COMMENTS OPENED

ANDREW DAVIES, N Consulting Engineers, 4 Hutton Centre, Suite 670, Santa Ana, clarified that Planet Fitness would like the option of adding a tanning center in the future. He noted the required parking would likely not be used at one time; the Planet Fitness model was for circuit training, allowing members to jump from individual equipment stations; described the methodology used for the required parking based on other Planet Fitness Centers; identified the applicable logos and colors; and stated that landscaping and lighting had yet to be determined between the landlord and the tenant but would be done by one of the two. He clarified the costs for membership; there were several franchisees operating in Northern California; the subject owner/operator did not own the Planet Fitness Center located in the City of Richmond and he was unaware of any issues with that facility.

ROBERT DORAN, representing ROIC, the owners of the property, understood that tenant improvements would be conditioned with the property owner, and bicycle racks within the shopping center, for instance, would be identified as part of the plan check process, and Mr. Rhodes noted a condition could be considered that the bicycle racks match the existing bicycle racks in the area or be placed indoors. City Attorney Eric Casher confirmed the project description could be modified to address concerns whether the applicant would have tanning services. He recommended that Condition 2 be modified to read: The proposed use shall be operated in a manner consistent with the project description provided by the applicant date-stamped received September 7, 2017 and project plans provided by the applicant date-stamped received September 7, 2017, unless the tanning exclusivity condition is lifted in which tanning would be an option available to the applicant, and unless otherwise specified in these conditions of approval and shall be conducted in a manner which is consistent with all federal, state, and local laws. PUBLIC COMMENT CLOSED

**MOTION** to adopt Resolution 17-12, with Exhibit A, Conditions of Approval, Resolution of the Planning Commission of the City of Pinole, County of Contra

Costa, State of California, Approving a Conditional Use Permit (CUP 17-08) and Design Review (DR 17-11) Request to Allow the Operation of an Approximately 18,879 Square Foot Fitness Center and Accompanying Building Façade Modification Within an Existing Building at 1750 Fitzgerald Drive, APN: 426-391-004, subject to modification to Condition 2, as suggested by the City Attorney and correcting the typographical errors to Conditions 4,7,14, and 25.

MOTION: Hartley SECONDED: Martinez-Rubin APPROVED: 7-0

F. OLD BUSINESS: None

G. <u>NEW BUSINESS</u>: None

# H. <u>CITY PLANNER'S / COMMISSIONERS' REPORT</u>:

Mr. Rhodes reported the City had reached an agreement with Sprouts relative to its trash handling with a design submitted to modify the loading dock area to fully accommodate solid and food waste needs; the City was moving forward to approve final occupancy for Spouts; two light standards had been added to upgrade lighting between Habit Burger and the bowling alley and the other two tenants on the south side of the building, and staff would be speaking with the applicant about landscaping that had not survived the summer that was in need of replacement; the City Engineer had reported trail improvements for the Gateway Shopping Center Project along Pinole Creek would be completed in conjunction with construction of the dialysis center, in cooperation with the County Flood Control District; and construction on the East Bay Regional Parks District (EBRPD) Bay Trail Extension between Pinole Shores Drive and Bayfront Park was ongoing with the review of the final concrete and railing design to be reviewed in the field by the subcommittee and neighboring property owners at a time yet to be scheduled.

# I. **COMMUNICATIONS**: None

## J. <u>NEXT MEETING</u>:

The next meeting of the Planning Commission will be a Regular Meeting to be held on Monday, November 13, 2017 at 7:00 P.M.

K. <u>ADJOURNMENT</u>: 8:52 P.M

Transcribed by:

44 Anita L. Tucci-Smith

**Transcriber**